

REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended to be generic to all claims and claims 2-4 have been amended to depend from claim 1.

In response to the requirement for restriction, applicants elect Group I and claim 1. Nevertheless, now that claims 2-4 are dependent from claim 1, it is believed all of claims 1-10 can be examined.

In response to the requirement for an election of species, applicants elect the species of FIG. 1 (sub-species A). The claims readable on the elected species are claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 10. It is understood that the claims will only have to be restricted to the elected species in the event a generic claim is not found to be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: August 4, 2003

By: 

Arthur S. Garrett
Reg. No. 20,338

570829_1.DOC